



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/438,630 11/12		11/12/1999	NAOKI MURAYAMA	SONYJP-3.0-0	9638
530	7590	04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
-	•	LITTENBERG,	ONUAKU, CHRISTOPHER O		
KRUMHOL 600 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIEL	D, NJ 07	7090	2621		

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/438,630	MURAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher Onuaku	2616		

	Christopher Onuaku	2616							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED /13/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II he entered and an a	evolunation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	жріанацон от						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-22.</u>									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to 11,12,15,16,17&21 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..

James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 262 (